THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION Nos.22941, 22973 and 23020 of 2007

Between:

Nagulla Venkateswara Rao.

.. PETITIONER

and

The District Collector, Krishna District and others.

.. RESPONDENTS

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION Nos.22941, 22973 and 23020 of 2007

-

COMMON ORDER:

Since similar question of law arises for consideration in all these writ petitions, the same are taken up together for disposal by way of common order.

It is the case of the petitioners that against various impugned orders passed by the Tahsildar, Nandivada Mandal, Krishna District, and the Tahsildar, Mudinepalli Mandal, Krishna District, in proceedings in Rc.No.B.95/2007, dated 10-08-2007 and Rc.No.D.641/2007, dated 12-10-2007, under Section 4(1) (a) of A.P.Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers) Act, 1977 (for short "the Act") stating that the petitioners either violated the provisions of Section 3(1) or Section 3(2) of the Act, the petitioners filed appeals before the 2nd respondent on 12-10-2007 and 13-10-2007 respectively. It is stated that pending disposal of the appeals, the Tahsildar, Nandivada Mandal, Krishna District, and the Tahsildar, Mudinepalli Mandal, Krishna District, are taking steps to dispossess the petitioners from the lands in question.

As the appeals are pending, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that it is just and proper to direct the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose of the appeals.

Accordingly, the writ petitions are disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose of the appeals filed against the orders passed by the Tahsildar, Mudinepalli Mandal, Krishna District, dated 12-10-2007, and the Tahsildar, Nandivada Mandal, Krishna District, dated 10-08-2007, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law, after giving reasonable opportunity to the petitioners. Pending disposal of the appeals, status-quo obtaining as on today with regard to possession shall be maintained. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

01-11-2007

Prv